A countable definable set of reals containing no definable elements *

Vladimir Kanovei[†] Vassily Lyubetsky[‡]

September 5, 2018

Abstract

We make use of a finite support product of Jensen forcing to define a model in which there is a countable non-empty Π_2^1 set X of reals containing no ordinal-definable real.¹

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the existence of a non-empty OD (ordinal-definable) set of reals X with no OD element is consistent with \mathbf{ZFC} ; the set of all nonconstructible reals gives an example in many generic models including *e.g.* the Solovay model or the extension of \mathbf{L} , the constructible universe, by a Cohen real. Can such a set X be countable?

This question was initiated and briefly discussed at the Mathoverflow exchange desk in 2010² and at FOM³. In particular Ali Enayat (Footnote 3) conjectured that the problem can be solved by the finite-support product $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ of countably many copies of the Jensen "minimal Π_2^1 real singleton forcing" \mathbb{P} defined in [4] (see also Section 28A of [3]). Enayat proved that a symmetric part

^{*}Revised version. The revision includes an updated proof of Lemma 4.5 (the density-preservation lemma for the product).

[†]IITP RAS and MIIT, Moscow, Russia, kanovei@googlemail.com — contact author. Partial support of RFFI grants 13-01-00006 (of the 2014 version) and 17-01-00705 acknowledged. [‡]IITP RAS, Moscow, Russia, lyubetsk@iitp.ru

¹ The result was strengthened in [5], to the effect that the counterexample set X is a E_0 -equivalence class, or a Vitali equivalence class (a shift of \mathbb{Q} , the rationals), if the true reals of the real line \mathbb{R} are considered.

² A question about ordinal definable real numbers. Mathoverflow, March 09, 2010. http://mathoverflow.net/questions/17608.

³ Ali Enayat. Ordinal definable numbers. FOM Jul 23, 2010. http://cs.nyu.edu/pipermail/fom/2010-July/014944.html

of the $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic extension of **L** definitely yields a model of **ZF** (not a model of **ZFC**!) in which there is a Dedekind-finite infinite OD set of reals with no OD elements. In fact both $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic extensions and their symmetric submodels were considered in [1] (Theorem 3.3) with respect to some other questions.

Following the mentioned conjecture, we prove the next theorem in this paper:

Theorem 1.1. It is true in a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic extension of \mathbf{L} , the constructible universe, that the set of \mathbb{P} -generic reals is non-empty, countable, and Π_2^1 , but it has no OD elements.

The Π_2^1 definability is definitely the best one can get in this context since it easily follows from the Π_1^1 uniformisation theorem that any non-empty Σ_2^1 set of reals definitely contains a Δ_2^1 element.

Jindra Zapletal⁴ informed us that there is a totally different model of **ZFC** with an OD E_0 -class X containing no OD elements. The construction of such a model, not yet published, but described to us in a brief communication, looks quite complicated and involves a combination of several forcing notions and some modern ideas in descriptive set theory recently presented in [7]; it also does not look to be able to get X analytically definable, let alone Π_2^1 .

It remains to note that a *finite* OD set of reals contains only OD reals by obvious reasons. On the other hand, by a result in [2] there can be two *sets* of reals X, Y such that the pair $\{X, Y\}$ is OD but neither X nor Y is OD.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Jindra Zapletal and Ali Enayat for fruitful discussions.

2 Trees and perfect-tree forcing

Let $2^{<\omega}$ be the set of all strings (finite sequences) of numbers 0, 1. If $t \in 2^{<\omega}$ and i = 0, 1 then $t^{\wedge}k$ is the extension of t by k. If $s, t \in 2^{<\omega}$ then $s \subseteq t$ means that t extends s, while $s \subset t$ means proper extension. If $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ then $\ln s$ is the length of s, and $2^n = \{s \in 2^{<\omega} : \ln s = n\}$ (strings of length n).

A set $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ is a *tree* iff for any strings $s \subset t$ in $2^{<\omega}$, if $t \in T$ then $s \in T$. Thus every non-empty tree $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ contains the empty string Λ . If $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ is a tree and $s \in T$ then put $T \upharpoonright_s = \{t \in T : s \subseteq t \lor t \subseteq s\}$.

Let **PT** be the set of all *perfect* trees $\emptyset \neq T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$. Thus a non-empty tree $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ belongs to **PT** iff it has no endpoints and no isolated branches. Then there is a largest string $s \in T$ such that $T = T \upharpoonright_s$; it is denoted by s = stem(T) (the *stem* of T); we have $s^{\wedge}1 \in T$ and $s^{\wedge}0 \in T$ in this case.

⁴ Personal communication, Jul 31/Aug 01, 2014.

Each perfect tree $T \in \mathbf{PT}$ defines $[T] = \{a \in 2^{\omega} : \forall n (a \upharpoonright n \in T)\} \subseteq 2^{\omega}$, the perfect set of all *paths through* T.

By a **perfect-tree forcing** we understand any set $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbf{PT}$ suct that

- (1) \mathbb{P} contains the full tree $2^{<\omega}$;
- (2) if $u \in T \in \mathbb{P}$ then $T \upharpoonright_u \in \mathbb{P}$.

Such a set \mathbb{P} can be considered as a forcing notion (if $T \subseteq T'$ then T is a stronger condition). The forcing \mathbb{P} adds a real in 2^{ω} .

Let $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ be the **product of** ω -many copies of \mathbb{P} with finite support. Thus a typical element of $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ is a sequence $\tau = \{T_n\}_{n \in \omega}$, where each term $T_n = \tau(n)$ belongs to \mathbb{P} and the set $|\tau| = \{n : T_n \neq 2^{<\omega}\}$ (the support of τ) is finite. We order $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ componentwisely: $\sigma \leq \tau$ (σ is stronger) iff $\sigma(n) \subseteq \tau(n)$ in \mathbb{P} for all n; $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ adds an infinite sequence $\{x_n\}_{n < \omega}$ of \mathbb{P} -generic reals $x_n \in 2^{\omega}$.

Remark 2.1. Sometimes we'll use tuples like $\langle T_0, \ldots, T_n \rangle$ of trees $T_i \in \mathbb{P}$ to denote the infinite sequence $\langle T_0, \ldots, T_n, 2^{<\omega}, 2^{<\omega}, 2^{<\omega}, \ldots \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$.

3 Splitting construction over a perfect set forcing

Assume that $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbf{PT}$ is a perfect-tree forcing notion. The *splitting construction* $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$ over \mathbb{P} consists of all finite systems of trees of the form $\varphi = \{T_s\}_{s \in 2^{< n}}$, where $n = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi) < \omega$ (the height of φ) and

- (3) each tree $T_s = \varphi(s)$ belongs to \mathbb{P} ;
- (4) if $s^{i} \in 2^{<n}$ (i = 0, 1) then $T_{s^{i}} \subseteq T_s$ and $\operatorname{stem}(T_s)^{i} \subseteq \operatorname{stem}(T_{s^{i}})$ it easily follows that $[T_{s^{i}}] \cap [T_{s^{i}}] = \emptyset$.

The empty system Λ is the only one in $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$ satisfying $hgt(\Lambda) = 0$. Let φ, ψ be systems in $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$. Say that

- φ extends ψ , symbolically $\psi \preccurlyeq \varphi$, if $n = hgt(\psi) \le hgt(\varphi)$ and $\psi(s) = \varphi(s)$ for all $s \in 2^{< n}$;
- properly extends ψ , symbolically $\psi \prec \varphi$, if in addition $hgt(\psi) < hgt(\varphi)$;
- reduces ψ , if $n = \operatorname{hgt}(\psi) = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi)$, $\varphi(s) \subseteq \psi(s)$ for all $s \in 2^{\operatorname{hgt}(\varphi)-1}$, and $\varphi(s) = \psi(s)$ for all $s \in 2^{\operatorname{hgt}(\varphi)-1}$.

In other words, reduction allows to shrink trees in the top layer of the system, but keeps intact those in the lower layers.

Under the above assumption (2), there is a strictly \prec -increasing sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n<\omega}$ in $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$. The limit system $\varphi = \bigcup_n \varphi_n = \{T_s\}_{s\in 2^{<\omega}}$ then satisfies

(3) and (4) on the whole domain $2^{<\omega}$, and in this case, $T = \bigcap_n \bigcup_{s \in 2^n} T_s$ is still a perfect tree in **PT** (not necessarily in \mathbb{P}), and $[T] = \bigcap_n \bigcup_{s \in 2^n} [T_s]$.

Say that a tree T occurs in $\varphi \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$ if $T = \varphi(s)$ for some $s \in 2^{<\operatorname{hgt}(\varphi)}$.

We define $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$, the finite-support product of $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$, to consist of all infinite sequences $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \omega}$, where each $\varphi_k = \Phi(k)$ belongs to $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$ and the set $|\Phi| = \{k : \varphi_k \neq \Lambda\}$ (the support of Φ) is finite.

Say that a tree T occurs in $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}$ if it occurs in some $\varphi_k, k \in [\Phi]$.

We define $\Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi$ iff $\Psi(k) \preccurlyeq \Phi(k)$ (in $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$) for all k. Then $\Psi \prec \Phi$ means that $\Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi$ and $\Psi(k) \prec \Phi(k)$ for at least one k. In addition we define $\Psi \prec \Phi$ iff $|\Psi| \subseteq |\Phi|$ and $\Psi(k) \prec \Phi(k)$ for all $k \in |\Phi|$.

4 Jensen's extension of a perfect tree forcing

Let $\mathbf{ZFC'}$ be the subtheory of \mathbf{ZFC} including all axioms except for the power set axiom, plus the axiom saying that $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$ exists. (Then ω_1 and continual sets like **PT** exist as well.) Let \mathfrak{M} be a countable transitive model of $\mathbf{ZFC'}$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{P} \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbf{PT}$ is a perfect-tree forcing notion. Then the sets $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$, $\mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$, and $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ belong to \mathfrak{M} , too.

Definition 4.1. Consider any \preccurlyeq -increasing sequence $\Phi = {\Phi^j}_{j < \omega}$ of systems $\Phi^j = {\varphi_k^j}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$, generic over \mathfrak{M} in the sense that it intersects every set $D \in \mathfrak{M}$, $D \subseteq \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$, dense in $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})^5$.

Then in particular it intersects every set of the form

$$D_k = \{ \Phi \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P}) : \forall \, k' \le k \; (k \le \operatorname{hgt}(\Phi(k'))) \}.$$

Hence if $k < \omega$ then the sequence $\{\varphi_k^j\}_{j < \omega}$ of systems $\varphi_k^j \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$ is eventually strictly increasing, so that $\varphi_k^j \prec \varphi_k^{j+1}$ for infinitely many indices j (and $\varphi_k^j = \varphi_k^{j+1}$ for other j). Therefore there is a system of trees $\{\mathbf{T}_k^{\oplus}(s)\}_{k < \omega \land s \in 2^{<\omega}}$ in \mathbb{P} such that $\varphi_k^j = \{\mathbf{T}_k^{\oplus}(s)\}_{s \in 2^{<h(j,k)}}$, where $h(j,k) = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_k^j)$. Then

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{k}^{\Phi} = \bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{s \in 2^{n}} \boldsymbol{T}_{k}^{\Phi}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{U}_{k}^{\Phi}(s) \bigcap_{n \ge \ln s} \bigcup_{t \in 2^{n}, s \subseteq t} \boldsymbol{T}_{k}^{\Phi}(t)$$

are trees in **PT** (not necessarily in \mathbb{P}) for each k and $s \in 2^{<\omega}$; thus $U_k^{\oplus} = U_k^{\oplus}(\Lambda)$. In fact $U_k^{\oplus}(s) = U_k^{\oplus} \cap T_k^{\oplus}(s)$ by (4).

Lemma 4.2. The set of trees $\mathbb{U} = \{ U_k^{\oplus}(s) : k < \omega \land s \in 2^{<\omega} \}$ satisfies (2) while the union $\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{U}$ is a perfect-tree forcing.

Lemma 4.3. The set \mathbb{U} is dense in $\mathbb{U} \cup \mathbb{P}$.

⁵ Meaning that for any $\Psi \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ there is $\Phi \in D$ with $\Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi$.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in \mathbb{P}$. The set D(T) of all systems $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$, such that $\varphi_k(\Lambda) = T$ for some k, belongs to \mathfrak{M} and obviously is dense in $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$. It follows that $\Phi^j \in D(T)$ for some j, by the choice of Φ . Then $\mathbf{T}_k^{\Phi}(\Lambda) = T$ for some k. However $\mathbf{U}_k^{\Phi}(\Lambda) \subseteq \mathbf{T}_k^{\Phi}(\Lambda)$.

Lemma 4.4. If a set $D \in \mathfrak{M}$, $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is pre-dense in \mathbb{P} , and $U \in \mathbb{U}$, then $U \subseteq fin \bigcup D$, that is, there is a finite $D' \subseteq D$ with $U \subseteq \bigcup D'$.

Proof. Suppose that $U = U_K^{\oplus}(s)$, $K < \omega$ and $s \in 2^{<\omega}$. Consider the set $\Delta \in \mathfrak{M}$ of all systems $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ such that $K \in |\Phi|$, $\ln s < h = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_K)$, and for each $t \in 2^{h-1}$ there is a tree $S_t \in D$ with $\varphi_K(t) \subseteq S$. The set Δ is dense in $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ by the pre-density of D. Therefore there is an index j such that Φ^j belongs to Δ . Let this be witnessed by trees $S_t \in D$, $t \in 2^{h-1}$, where $\ln s < h = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_K^J)$, so that $\varphi_K^J(t) \subseteq S_t$. Then

$$U = \boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{\oplus}(s) \subseteq \boldsymbol{U}_{K}^{\oplus}(\Lambda) \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in 2^{h-1}} \varphi_{K}^{J}(t) \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in 2^{h-1}} S_{t} \subseteq \bigcup D'$$

by construction, where $D' = \{S_t : t \in 2^{h-1}\} \subseteq D$ is finite.

Lemma 4.5. If a set $D \in \mathfrak{M}$, $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ is pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ then it remains pre-dense in $(\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{U})^{<\omega}$.

Proof. ⁶ Given a condition $\tau \in (\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{U})^{<\omega}$, we have to prove that τ is compatible in $(\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{U})^{<\omega}$ with a condition $\sigma \in D$. For the sake of brevity, assume that $\tau = \langle U, V \rangle$, where $U = U_k^{\oplus}(s)$ and $V = U_\ell^{\oplus}(t)$ belong to \mathbb{U} . The numbers k, ℓ can be equal or different.

Consider the set $\Delta \in \mathfrak{M}$ of all systems $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ such that $k, \ell \in |\Phi|$ and there exist:

(*) strings $s', t' \in 2^{<\omega}$ with $s \subseteq s', t \subseteq t'$, $\ln s' < \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_k)$, $\ln t' < \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_\ell)$, and tuples $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \langle S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1} \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \langle R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_{n-1} \rangle \in D$ $(n \geq 2)$, such that all trees S_i occur in Φ , $S_i \subseteq R_i$ for all i, and finally $\varphi_k(s') = S_0, \varphi_\ell(t') = S_1$.

The set Δ is dense in $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ by the pre-density of D. Therefore there is an index j such that Φ^j belongs to Δ .

Let this be witnessed by strings $s', t' \in 2^{<\omega}$ and tuples σ, τ as in (*). By definition there exists a tuple $\boldsymbol{u} = \langle U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1} \rangle \in \mathbb{U}^{<\omega}$, such that $U_i \subseteq S_i \subseteq R_i$ for all i — hence \boldsymbol{u} is stronger than $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in D$, — and $U_0 = \boldsymbol{U}_k^{\oplus}(s')$, $U_1 = \boldsymbol{U}_\ell^{\oplus}(t')$. However $\boldsymbol{U}_k^{\oplus}(s') \subseteq \varphi_k^j(s') \cap \boldsymbol{U}_k^{\oplus}(s)$ and $\boldsymbol{U}_\ell^{\oplus}(t') \subseteq \varphi_\ell^j(t') \cap \boldsymbol{U}_\ell^{\oplus}(t)$ by construction. It follows that condition $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{U}^{<\omega}$ is stronger than both $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \langle U, V \rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in D$, as required.

 $^{^{6}}$ An improved argument, first appeared in a more complicated case in [6, Theorem 6.3].

5 Forcing a real away of a pre-dense set

Let \mathfrak{M} be still a countable transitive model of $\mathbf{ZFC'}$ and $\mathbb{P} \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbf{PT}$ be a perfect-tree forcing notion. The goal of the following Theorem 5.3 is to prove that, in the conditions of Definition 4.1, for any $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -name c of a real in 2^{ω} , it is forced by the extended forcing $(\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{U})^{<\omega}$ that c does not belong to sets [U] where u is a tree in \mathbb{U} — unless c is a name of one of generic reals x_k themselves. We begin with a suitable notation.

Definition 5.1. A $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -real name is a system $\mathbf{c} = \{C_{ni}\}_{n < \omega, i < 2}$ of sets $C_{ni} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ such that each set $C_n = C_{n0} \cup C_{n1}$ is dense or at least pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ and if $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in C_{n0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in C_{n1}$ then $\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}$ are incompatible in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$.

If a set $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ is $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic at least over the collection of all sets C_n then we define $\mathbf{c}[G] \in 2^{\omega}$ so that $\mathbf{c}[G](n) = i$ iff $G \cap C_{ni} \neq \emptyset$.

Thus any $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -real name $\mathbf{c} = \{C_{ni}\}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -name for a real in 2^{ω} . Recall that $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ adds a generic sequence $\{x_k\}_{k<\omega}$ of reals $x_k \in 2^{\omega}$.

Example 5.2. Let $k < \omega$. Define a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -real name $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_k = \{C_{ni}^k\}_{n < \omega, i < 2}$ such that each set C_{ni}^k contains a single condition $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{ni}^k \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$, and $|\boldsymbol{\rho}_{ni}^k| = \{k\}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{ni}^k(k) = R_{ni}$, where $R_{ni} = \{s \in 2^{<\omega} : \ln s > n \Longrightarrow s(n) = i\}$. Then $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_k$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -name of a real x_k , the kth term of a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic sequence $\{x_k\}_{k < \omega}$.

Let $\mathbf{c} = \{C_{ni}\}$ and $\mathbf{d} = \{C_{ni}\}$ be a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -real names. Say that $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{PT}^{<\omega}$:

- directly forces $\mathbf{c}(n) = i$, where $n < \omega$ and i = 0, 1, iff $\boldsymbol{\tau} \leq \boldsymbol{\rho}_{ni}^k$ (that is, the tree $T = \boldsymbol{\tau}(k) \in \mathbf{PT}$ satisfies x(n) = i for all $x \in [T]$);
- directly forces $s \subset \mathbf{c}$, where $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, iff for all $n < \ln s$, τ directly forces $\mathbf{c}(n) = i$, where i = s(n);
- directly forces $\mathbf{d} \neq \mathbf{c}$, iff there are strings $s, t \in 2^{<\omega}$, incomparable in $2^{<\omega}$ and such that $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ directly forces $s \subset \mathbf{c}$ and $t \subset \mathbf{d}$;
- directly forces $\mathbf{c} \notin [T]$, where $T \in \mathbf{PT}$, iff there is a string $s \in 2^{<\omega} \setminus T$ such that $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ directly forces $s \subset \mathbf{c}$;

Theorem 5.3. In the assumptions of Definition 4.1, suppose that $\mathbf{c} = \{C_m^i\}_{m < \omega, i < 2} \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ is a } \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}\text{-real name, and for every } k \text{ the set}$

$$D(k) = \{ \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega} : \boldsymbol{\tau} \text{ directly forces } \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{\dot{x}}_k \}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$. Let $\boldsymbol{u} \in (\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{U})^{<\omega}$ and $U \in \mathbb{U}$. Then there is a stronger condition $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{U}^{<\omega}$, $\boldsymbol{v} \leq \boldsymbol{u}$, which directly forces $\mathbf{c} \notin [U]$.

Proof. By construction $U \subseteq U_k^{\oplus}$ for some k; thus we can assume that simply $U = U_k^{\oplus}$. Let, say, $U = U_1^{\oplus}$. Assume for the sake of brevity that K = 1, $|\boldsymbol{\tau}| = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\boldsymbol{u} = \langle U_0, U_1, U_2, U_3 \rangle \in \mathbb{U}^{<\omega}$ (see Remark 2.1), where

$$U_0 = \boldsymbol{U}_0^{\Phi}(t_0), \quad U_1 = \boldsymbol{U}_0^{\Phi}(t_1), \quad U_2 = \boldsymbol{U}_1^{\Phi}(t_2), \quad U_3 = \boldsymbol{U}_1^{\Phi}(t_3),$$

and t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3 are strings in $2^{<\omega}$.

There is an index J such that the system $\Phi^J = \{\varphi_k^J\}_{k \in \omega}$ satisfies $hgt(\varphi_0^J) > max\{lh t_0, lh t_1\}$ and $hgt(\varphi_1^J) > max\{lh t_2, lh t_2\}$, so that the trees

$$T_0 = \varphi_0^J(t_0) = \boldsymbol{T}_0^{\Phi}(t_0), \ T_1 = \varphi_0^J(t_1) = \boldsymbol{T}_0^{\Phi}(t_1), \ T_2 = \varphi_1^J(t_2) = \boldsymbol{T}_1^{\Phi}(t_2),$$

and $T_3 = \varphi_1^J(t_3) = \mathbf{T}_1^{\Phi}(t_3)$ in \mathbb{P} are defined and condition $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \langle T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3 \rangle$ belongs to $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$. Note that $\boldsymbol{u} \leq \boldsymbol{\tau}$.

Consider the set \mathscr{D} of all systems $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ such that $\Phi^J \preccurlyeq \Phi$ and there is a condition $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \langle S_0, \ldots, S_n \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \leqslant \boldsymbol{\tau}$ (*i.e.*, stronger that $\boldsymbol{\tau}$), such that

- (5) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ directly forces $\mathbf{c} \notin [T]$, where $T = \bigcup_{s \in 2^{h_1 1}} \varphi_1(s)$ and $h_k = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_k)$;
- (6) each tree S_i occurs in Φ (see Section 3);
- (7) more specifically, $S_0 = \varphi_0(s_0)$, $S_1 = \varphi_0(s_1)$, $S_2 = \varphi_1(s_2)$, $S_3 = \varphi_1(s_3)$, where $s_0, s_1 \in 2^{h_0-1}$, $s_2, s_3 \in 2^{h_1-1}$, and $t_i \subseteq s_i$, i = 0, 1, 2, 3.

Lemma 5.4. \mathscr{D} is dense in $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ above Φ^J .

Proof. Consider any system $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ with $\Phi^J \preccurlyeq \Phi$; the goal is to define a system $\Phi' \in \mathscr{D}$ such that $\Phi \preccurlyeq \Phi'$. We can assume that in fact $\Phi^J \preccurlyeq \Phi$; then any system $\Phi' \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ which is a reduction of Φ still satisfies $\Phi^J \preccurlyeq \Phi'$ and $\Phi^J \preccurlyeq \Phi'$. Let $h_0 = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_0)$ and $h_1 = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_1)$. Then by the assumption $\operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_0^J) < h_0$ and $\operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_1^J) < h_1$ strictly.

Pick strings $s_0, s_1 \in 2^{h_0-1}$ and $s_2, s_3 \in 2^{h_1-1}$ satisfying $t_i \subset s_i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Consider the condition $\rho = \langle R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, \dots, R_N \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$, where $N = 1 + 2^{n_1}, R_0 = \varphi_0(s_0), R_1 = \varphi_0(s_1), R_2 = \varphi_1(s_2), R_3 = \varphi_1(s_3), \text{ and } \{R_4, \dots, R_N\}$ is an arbitrary enumeration of $\{\varphi_1(s) : s \in 2^{n_1-1}, s \neq s_2, s_3\}$.

It follows from the density of sets D(k) that there is a stronger condition $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \langle S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_N, \ldots, S_M \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$, where $M \geq N$ and $S_i \subseteq R_i$ for all $i \leq N$, which directly forces $\mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{\dot{x}}_k$ for all $k = 2, \ldots, N$. Then there exist strings $u, v_2, \ldots, v_N \in 2^{<\omega}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ directly forces each of the formulas

$$u \subset \mathbf{c}$$
, and also $v_2 \subseteq \dot{\mathbf{x}}_2$, $v_3 \subseteq \dot{\mathbf{x}}_3$, ..., $v_N \subseteq \dot{\mathbf{x}}_N$,

and u is incompatible in $2^{<\omega}$ with each v_k .

However $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ directly forces $v_k \subseteq \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_k$ iff $v_k \subseteq \operatorname{stem}(S_k)$. We conclude that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ directly forces $\mathbf{c} \notin [S]$, where $S = \bigcup_{2 \le k \le M} S_k$.

Now let $\Phi' \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ be defined as follows. We begin with Φ .

Step 1. Recall that $R_0 = \varphi_0(s_0)$, $R_1 = \varphi_0(s_1)$, $R_2 = \varphi_1(s_2)$, $R_3 = \varphi_1(s_3)$ in Φ . Now let $\varphi'_0(s_0) = S_0$, $\varphi'_0(s_1) = S_1$, $\varphi'_1(s_2) = S_2$, $\varphi'_1(s_3) = S_3$.

Step 2. By construction each R_k , $4 \le k \le M$, was equal to some $\varphi_1(s_k)$, $s_k \in 2^{n_1-1}$, $s_k \ne s_2, s_3$; we let $\varphi'_1(t) = S_k$.

Step 3. Each S_k , $N+1 \leq k < M$, is a tree in \mathbb{P} . Let $\mu = \max |\Phi|$ and define a system $\varphi'_{\mu+k} \in \mathbf{SC}(\mathbb{P})$ so that $hgt(\varphi'_{\mu+k}) = 1$ and $\varphi'_{\mu+k}(\Lambda) = S'_k$.

After all these changes in Φ , we obtain another system $\Phi' = \{\varphi'_k : k \in \omega\}$ in $\mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P})$ which is a reduction of Φ , hence, satisfies $\Phi^J \preccurlyeq \Phi'$, and every tree S_k in the condition $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \langle S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_N, \ldots, S_M \rangle$ occurs in Φ' . Moreover $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ witnesses that $\Phi' \in \mathcal{D}$, as required. \Box (Lemma)

Come back to the proof of the theorem. It follows from the lemma that there is an index $j \geq J$ such that the system $\Phi^j = \{\varphi_k^j\}_{k \in \omega}$ belongs to \mathscr{D} , and let this be witnessed by a condition $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \langle S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_n \rangle \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ satisfying (5), (6), (7). In particular $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \leq \boldsymbol{\tau}$ by (7).

Finally consider a condition $\boldsymbol{v} = \langle V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3, \ldots, V_n \rangle \in \mathbb{U}^{<\omega}$ defined so that $V_0 = \boldsymbol{U}_0^{\oplus}(s_0), V_1 = \boldsymbol{U}_0^{\oplus}(s_1), V_2 = \boldsymbol{U}_1^{\oplus}(s_2), V_3 = \boldsymbol{U}_1^{\oplus}(s_3), \text{ and if } 4 \leq k \leq n$ then let V_k be any tree in \mathbb{U} satisfying $V_k \subseteq S_k$ (Lemma 4.3). Recall that $t_i \subseteq s_i$ for i = 0, 1, 2, 3 by construction, therefore $\boldsymbol{v} \leq \boldsymbol{u}$. On the other hand, $\boldsymbol{v} \leq \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, therefore \boldsymbol{v} directly forces $\mathbf{c} \notin [T]$ by (5), where $T = \bigcup_{s \in 2^{h-1}} \varphi_1^j(s) = \bigcup_{s \in 2^{h-1}} T_1^{\oplus}(s)$ and $h = \operatorname{hgt}(\varphi_1)$. And finally by definition $\boldsymbol{U}_1^{\oplus} \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in 2^{h-1}} \varphi_1^j(s)$, so \boldsymbol{v} directly forces $\mathbf{c} \notin [U_1^{\oplus}]$, as required.

6 Jensen's forcing

In this section, we argue in L, the constructible universe. Let \leq_L be the canonical wellordering of L.

Definition 6.1 (in **L**). Following [4, Section 3], define, by induction on $\xi < \omega_1$, a countable set of trees $\mathbb{U}_{\xi} \subseteq \mathbf{PT}$ satisfying (2) of Section 2, as follows.

Let \mathbb{U}_0 consist of all clopen trees $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$, including $2^{<\omega}$ itself.

Suppose that $0 < \lambda < \omega_1$, and countable sets $\mathbb{U}_{\xi} \subseteq \mathbf{PT}$ are already defined. Let \mathfrak{M}_{ξ} be the least model \mathfrak{M} of $\mathbf{ZFC'}$ of the form \mathbf{L}_{κ} , $\kappa < \omega_1$, containing $\{\mathbb{U}_{\xi}\}_{\xi < \lambda}$ and such that $\alpha < \omega_1^{\mathfrak{M}}$ and all sets \mathbb{U}_{ξ} , $\xi < \lambda$, are countable in \mathfrak{M} . Then $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\xi < \lambda} \mathbb{U}_{\xi}$ is countable in \mathfrak{M} , too. Let $\{\Phi^j\}_{j < \omega}$ be the $\leq_{\mathbf{L}}$ -least sequence of systems $\Phi^j \in \mathbf{SC}^{<\omega}(\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}), \preccurlyeq$ -increasing and generic over \mathfrak{M}_{λ} , and let $\mathbb{U}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{U}$ be defined, on the base of this sequence, as in Definition 4.1.

Modulo technical details, $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} \mathbb{U}_{\xi}$ is the Jensen forcing of [4], and the finite-support product $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ is the forcing we'll use to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 6.2 (in L). The sequence $\{U_{\xi}\}_{\xi < \omega_1}$ belongs to Δ_1^{HC} .

Lemma 6.3 (in **L**). If a set $D \in \mathfrak{M}_{\xi}$, $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\xi}^{<\omega}$ is pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\xi}^{<\omega}$ then it remains pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$. Hence if $\xi < \omega_1$ then $\mathbb{U}_{\xi}^{<\omega}$ is pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$.

Proof. By induction on λ , $\xi \leq \lambda < \omega_1$, if D is pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}^{<\omega}$ then it remains pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda+1}^{<\omega} = (\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} \cup \mathbb{U}_{\lambda})^{<\omega}$ by Lemma 4.5. Limit steps are obvious. To prove the second part, note that $\mathbb{U}_{\xi}^{<\omega}$ is dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\xi+1}^{<\omega}$ by Lemma 4.3, and \mathbb{U}_{ξ} belongs to $\mathfrak{M}_{\xi+1}$.

Lemma 6.4 (in **L**). If $X \subseteq \text{HC} = \mathbf{L}_{\omega_1}$ then the set W_X of all ordinals $\xi < \omega_1$ such that $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\xi}; X \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi} \rangle$ is an elementary submodel of $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\omega_1}; X \rangle$ and $X \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\xi}$ is unbounded in ω_1 . More generally, if $X_n \subseteq \text{HC}$ for all n then the set W of all ordinals $\xi < \omega_1$, such that $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\xi}; \{X_n \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi}\}_{n < \omega} \rangle$ is an elementary submodel of $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\omega_1}; \{X_n\}_{n < \omega} \rangle$ and $\{X_n \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi}\}_{n < \omega} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\xi}$, is unbounded in ω_1 .

Proof. Let $\xi_0 < \omega_1$. By standard arguments, there are ordinals $\xi < \lambda < \omega_1$, $\xi > \xi_0$, such that $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}; \mathbf{L}_{\xi}, X \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi} \rangle$ is an elementary submodel of $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\omega_2}; \mathbf{L}_{\omega_1}, X \rangle$. Then $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\xi}; X \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi} \rangle$ is an elementary submodel of $\langle \mathbf{L}_{\omega_1}; X \rangle$, of course. Moreover, ξ is uncountable in \mathbf{L}_{λ} , hence $\mathbf{L}_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\xi}$. It follows that $X \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\xi}$ since $X \cap \mathbf{L}_{\xi} \in \mathbf{L}_{\lambda}$ by construction. The second claim does not differ much.

Corollary 6.5 (in \mathbf{L} , = Lemma 6 in [4]). The forcing $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ satisfies CCC.

Proof. Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ is a maximal antichain. By Lemma 6.4, there is an ordinal ξ such that $A' = A \cap \mathbb{P}_{\xi}^{<\omega}$ is a maximal antichain in $\mathbb{P}_{\xi}^{<\omega}$ and $A' \in \mathfrak{M}_{\xi}$. But then A' remains pre-dense, therefore, maximal, in the whole set \mathbb{P} by Lemma 6.3. It follows that A = A' is countable.

7 The model

We consider the sets $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}^{<\omega} \in \mathbf{L}$ (Definition 6.1) as forcing notions over \mathbf{L} .

Lemma 7.1 (= Lemma 7 in [4]). A real $x \in 2^{\omega}$ is \mathbb{P} -generic over \mathbf{L} iff $x \in Z = \bigcap_{\xi < \omega_{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{L}}} \bigcup_{U \in \mathbb{U}_{\xi}} [U]$.

Proof. All sets \mathbb{U}_{ξ} are pre-dense in \mathbb{P} by Lemma 6.3. On the other hand, if $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, $A \in \mathbf{L}$ is a maximal altichain in \mathbb{P} , then easily $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\xi}$ for some $\xi < \omega_1^{\mathbf{L}}$ by Corollary 6.5. But then every tree $U \in \mathbb{U}_{\xi}$ satisfies $U \subseteq^{\text{fin}} \bigcup A$ by Lemma 4.4, so that $\bigcup_{U \in \mathbb{U}_{\xi}} [U] \subseteq \bigcup_{T \in A} [T]$.

Corollary 7.2 (= Corollary 9 in [4]). In any generic extension of **L**, the set of all reals in $2^{\omega} \mathbb{P}$ -generic over **L** is Π_1^{HC} and Π_2^1 .

Proof. Use Lemma 7.1 and Proposition 6.2.

Definition 7.3. From now on, let $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ be a set $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic over \mathbf{L} . If $k < \omega$ then let $G_k = \{\boldsymbol{\tau}(k) : \boldsymbol{\tau} \in G\}$, so that each G_k is \mathbb{P} -generic over \mathbf{L} and $X_k = \bigcap_{T \in G_k} [T]$ is a singleton $X_k = \{x_k\}$ whose only element $x_k \in 2^{\omega}$ is a real \mathbb{P} -generic over \mathbf{L} .

The whole extension $\mathbf{L}[G]$ is then equal to $\mathbf{L}[\{x_k\}_{k<\omega}]$, and our goal is now to prove that it contains no other \mathbb{P} -generic reals.

Lemma 7.4 (in the assumptions of Definition 7.3). If $x \in \mathbf{L}[G] \cap 2^{\omega}$ and $x \notin \{x_k : k < \omega\}$ then x is not a \mathbb{P} -generic real over \mathbf{L} .

Proof. Otherwise there is a condition $\tau \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ and a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -real name $\mathbf{c} = \{C_{ni}\}_{n < \omega, i=0,1} \in \mathbf{L}$ such that $\tau \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -forces that \mathbf{c} is \mathbb{P} -generic while $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ forces that $\mathbf{c} \neq \dot{\mathbf{x}}_k$ for all k. (Recall that $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_k$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -real name for x_k .)

Let $C_n = C_{n0} \cup C_{n1}$; this is a pre-dense set in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$. It follows from Lemma 6.4 that there is an ordinal $\lambda < \omega_1$ such that each set $C'_n = C_n \cap \mathbb{P}_{\lambda}^{<\omega}$ is pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}^{<\omega}$, and the sequence $\{C'_{ni}\}_{n<\omega, i=0,1}$ belongs to \mathfrak{M}_{λ} , where $C'_{ni} = C'_n \cap C_{ni}$ — then C'_n is pre-dense in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$, too, by Lemma 6.3. Thus we can assume that in fact $C_n = C'_n$, that is, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}$ and \mathbf{c} is a $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}^{<\omega}$ -real name.

Further, as $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ forces that $\mathbf{c} \neq \dot{\mathbf{x}}_k$, the set D_k of all conditions $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ which directly force $\mathbf{c} \neq \dot{\mathbf{x}}_k$, is dense in $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ — for every k. Therefore, still by Lemmas 6.4, we may assume that the same ordinal λ as above satisfies the following: each set $D'_k = D_k \cap \mathbb{P}_{\lambda}^{<\omega}$ is dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}^{<\omega}$.

Applying Theorem 5.3 with $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\lambda}$, $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}$, and $\mathbb{P} \cup \mathbb{U} = \mathbb{P}_{\lambda+1}$, we conclude that for each $U \in \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}$ the set Q_U of all conditions $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{\lambda+1}^{<\omega}$ which directly force $\mathbf{c} \notin [U]$, is dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda+1}^{<\omega}$. As obviously $Q_U \in \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda+1}$, we further conclude that Q_U is pre-dense in the whole forcing $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ by Lemma 6.3. This implies that $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ forces $\mathbf{c} \notin \bigcup_{U \in \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}} [U]$, hence, forces that \mathbf{c} is not $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic, by Lemma 7.1. But this contradicts to the choice of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$.

Finally the next lemma is a usual property of finite-support product forcing.

Lemma 7.5 (in the assumptions of Definition 7.3). If $k < \omega$ then x_k is not OD in $\mathbf{L}[G]$.

Now, arguing in the $\mathbb{P}^{<\omega}$ -generic model $\mathbf{L}[G] = \mathbf{L}[\{x_k\}_{k<\omega}]$, we observe the countable set $X = \{x_k : k < \omega\}$ is exactly the set of all \mathbb{P} -generic reals by Lemma 7.4, hence it belongs to Π_2^1 by Corollary 7.2, and finally it contains no OD elements by Lemma 7.5.

 \Box (Theorem 1.1)

References

- Ali Enayat. On the Leibniz-Mycielski axiom in set theory. Fundam. Math., 181(3):215-231, 2004.
- [2] M. Groszek and R. Laver. Finite groups of OD-conjugates. Period. Math. Hung., 18:87–97, 1987.
- [3] Thomas Jech. *Set theory.* Berlin: Springer, the third millennium revised and expanded edition, 2003.
- [4] Ronald Jensen. Definable sets of minimal degree. Math. Logic Found. Set Theory, Proc. Int. Colloqu., Jerusalem 1968, pp. 122-128, 1970.
- [5] V. Kanovei and V. Lyubetsky. A definable E₀ class containing no definable elements. Arch. Math. Logic, 54(5-6):711-723, 2015.
- [6] V. Kanovei and V. Lyubetsky. Counterexamples to countable-section Π_2^1 uniformization and Π_3^1 separation. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 167(4):262–283, 2016.
- [7] Vladimir Kanovei, Martin Sabok, and Jindřich Zapletal. Canonical Ramsey theory on Polish spaces. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.