Dicyemida and Orthonectida: Two stories of body plan simplification

O.A. Zverkov, K.V. Mikhailov, S.V. Isaev, L.Y. Rusin, M.D. Logacheva, A.A. Penin, L.L. Moroz, Y.V. Panchin, V.A. Lyubetsky, V.V. Aleoshin

Institute for Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Lomonosov Moscow State University, and University of Florida

Abstract

Mesozoa is an enigmatic animal phylum proposed in the 19th century as a missing link between protists and multicellular animals. Mesozoa encompasses two groups of morphologically simple parasites of invertebrates: Dicyemida and Orthonectida. We obtained transcriptomic data for two Dicyema spp. and genomic data for one species. Genomic data confirms the existence of heterogenous extrachromosomal circular DNAs, which includes coding regions of their mitochondrial genome. The dicyemids display reduction of genes linked to the formation of basement membrane and function of muscle and nervous systems. Homeodomain (homeobox) transcription factors, Gprotein-coupled receptors and many other protein families have undergone massive reduction in dicyemids compared to other animals. We constructed and analyzed a large dataset of predicted orthologous proteins from three species of *Dicyema* and a set of spiralian animals including the orthonectid Intoshia linei. Bayesian analyses recovered the orthonectid lineage within the Annelida. In contrast, dicyemids form a separate clade with weak affinity to the Rouphozoa (Plahyhelminthes plus Gastrotricha). Mesozoa is a polyphyletic taxon. Dramatic simplification of the body plan in dicyemids and orthonectids as well as their metagenetic life cycle evolved independently in these two lineages.

"Circular" contigs and mtDNA in Dicyema sp.

Using NGS data we have identified 24,065 "circular" contigs. The search for mtDNA genes in the entire genome concluded that all the sequences found are encoded in distinct circles according to the rule which can be formulated as "one gene, one circle". The signal presence in circles both with mtDNA genes and without them seems to be surprising. It can be interpreted as a consequence of a similar mechanism of generation and maintaining of circles irrespective of their origin. Therefore, we confirm the localization of the mitochondrial genes of dicyemids on mini-circle DNAs. This is a fairly rare feature for the animal's mitochondrial genome.

Homeobox transcription factors

Phylogenetic inference with PRD class homeoboxes outlines six dicyemid clusters, including the orthologs of the Pax6 and Otx families. The dicyemid genes suggests that overall they possess fewer homeoboxes than the orthonectid I. linei and their sequences are also markedly more diverged. Dicyemids also experience several lineagespecific expansions of homeoboxes, including the duplication of central Hox gene DoxC. Expansions in Hox-like gene complement might be an indication of major restructuring of their developmental program following the loss of organs and classical tissue segmentation.

Basal lamina

The BLAST and Pfam searches showed that these domains are absent from the genome of *Dicyema* sp., so there is no evidence that dicyemids have a basement membrane at all. The absence of the basement membrane is consistent with a reported loss of muscular and nervous systems in these animals.

Membrane receptor proteins

We found only two PF00001 domain hits corresponding to the 7 pass transmembrane receptor proteins of rhodopsin family in *Dicyema* sp. Four proteins from another GPCR 7 transmembrane receptor family (PF00002 Secretin family) were predicted in our *Dicyema* sp. data. Another big group of ionotropic receptors is the Cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel superfamily that is composed of nicotinic acetylcholine, GABAA, GABAA-p, glycine, 5-HT3, and zinc-activated (ZAC) receptors. In *Dicyema* sp. we found 8 genes for this superfamily, identified by the specific transmembrane region domain (PF02932) and the ligand binding domain (PF02931).

Proteins for neuro-muscular system

Muscle cells are absent in dicyemids and detection of the musclespecific genes in these animals is intriguing. In summary, we can conclude that genes related to the basement membrane, neuronal and muscular systems data confirms extreme simplicity of dicyemids. At the same time the spectra of loss and presence of such genes in *Dicyema* sp. and Orthonectida are different, supporting the conclusion that these animal groups are not close relatives and have simplified independently. Another intriguing feature is the presence of troponin complex that is specific for striated muscles in dicyemids that lack muscle cells. Together with a relatively big set of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, that are often associated with neuromuscular signaling it appears important to re-evaluate the present view that neuromuscular system was totally lost in dicyemids.

Protodrilus adhaerens	
.94 Protodriloides symbioticus * P. chaetifer	Annelida
.99 Marphysa bellii	
50 TGlycera tridactyla	
Myzostoma cirriferum	
Alvinella pompejana	
Capitella teleta	
	Orthonectida Intoshia linei
Spiochaetopterus sp. * Chaetopterus variopedatus	
Phascolosoma perlucens	
.25 Magelona berkeleyi	
U Owenia fusiformis	
50 June 1 ingula anatina	Brachiopoda
Phoronis australis	Phoronida
.50 .87 Tubulipora sp.	
.50 Cristatella mucedo	Bryozoa
Membranipora membranacea	Biyozod
Cephalothrix linearis	Nemertea
.50 Nipponnemertes sp.	
Neomenia megatrapezata	
Chiton olivaceus * Chaetopleura apiculata	
Cctopus bimaculoides	
Mytilus californianus	Mollusca
Crassostrea gigas	
Lottia gigantea	
Aplysia californica * Biomphalaria glabrata	Discussida Discussos 2
•	Dicyemica Dicyema sp. 2
Pedicellina sp. * P. cernua	
Loxosoma pectinaricola	Entoprocta
Symbion pandora * S. americanus	Cycliophora
49 Mesodasys laticaudatus Macrodasys sp	
Macroadelys sp.	Gastrotricha (Macrodasyida)
Dactylopodella baltica	
75 Lepidodermella squamata	Gastrotricha (Chaetonotida)
Catenula lemna	
Macrostomum lignano	
Paraplanocera sp.	
	Platyhelminthes
Dugesia japonica *	D. rvukvuensis
Bothrioplana semperi	
Moniezia expansa	
	granulosus * E. multilocularis
	n × S. Japonicum • Onisthorchis viverrini
Giordenais and Control of Control	arina
Gnathostomula p	aradoxa Gnathostomulida
Austrognathia sp.	Autor 50
	Seison sp. IVIICrognathozoa
Lepadella patella * Lecane inermis	
Brachionus plicatilis * B. manjavacas	
Adineta vaga	Svndermata
Macracanthorhyn	cnus nirudinaceus
	Echinorhynchus gadi * E. truttae
Priapulus caudatus	
Echinoderes horni	Ecdysozoa
Apis mellifera	

Figure 1. Bayesian tree of Spiralia with the inclusion of Mesozoa. The consensus topology was constructed from four chains of a PhyloBayes run with the CAT+GTR+Γ4 evolutionary model. Nodes with posterior probabilities below 1.0 are marked with red dots, with those of 1.0 – with black dots. Chimeric operational taxonomic units include names of merged species signed with an asterisk. The tree is rooted with four ecdysozoan lineages.

Gap junctions and adhesion molecules

BLAST and Pfam searches with our dicyemid data detected 21 hits with the innexin/pannexin-specific domain (PF00876) and no connexins. The universal metazoan proteins Integrin alpha and Integrin beta are detected in dicyemids in single copies, immunoglobulin domain is present in 6 sequences and Cadherin in 18 copies.

Axon guidance molecules and their receptors

Both Orthonectida and Dicyemida, lack semaphorins, important neuronal pathfinding signaling molecules, and their receptors (plexins). Other players potentially involved in the nervous system development such as Netrin, Ephrins, Ephrin receptors are present in Orthonectida but not in Dicyemida.

Peroxisome

The proteins and Pfam domains specific to peroxisome organelles, found in most metazoans, are absent from the dicyemid data. The peroxisomal proteins, mandatory for peroxisome function are missing. Failure to detect these genes unequivocally suggests the absence of the organelle (similar to Orthonectida and parasitic flatworms).

Phylogenetic analyses

To clarify the relationships of the two mesozoan groups, Orthonectida and Dicyemida, we sequenced transcriptomes of two unidentified species of *Dicyema*. The consensus Bayesian tree (Fig. 1) was obtained from four independent chains. Importantly, in all topologies (Fig. 2), the orthonectid is nested within the Annelida, and the dicyemid lineage is inferred sister to the assemblage of Platyhelminthes, Gastrotricha, Entoprocta, and Cycliophora (to the inclusion of Bryozoa in some topologies).

Maximum likelihood analyses of the same dataset with RAxML and IQ-TREE produce a different view on the phylogeny of Mesozoa. The dicyemids and the orthonectid form a monophyletic group in ML trees with maximal support. Although ML analyses show disagreement with the result of Bayesian inference, modeling of site-heterogeneity by the IQ-TREE profile mixture model does shed light on some spurious cases in spiralian relationships. For instance, tThe divergent annelid Myzostoma is correctly grouped with other annelids in the IQ-TREE analysis, in contrast with the RAxML tree where it forms a clade with long branches of the Rouphozoa, Gnathifera, and Mesozoa.

To test for expected LBA effects, particularly to exclude the possibility of the orthonectid being attracted to annelids by the divergent Myzostoma, we conducted additional analyses excluding each of the long branched lineages. Bayesian analyses of additional datasets recovered placement of Intoshia within annelids in the absence of Myzostoma. The position of dicyemids is also unaffected by exclusion of other long-branched taxa - the dicyemids occupy a basal position within the Rouphozoa+Trochozoa subtree in all analyses of the additional datasets.

We also tested our dataset for effects of compositional heterogeneity by discarding highly heterogeneous partitions and utilizing the data recoding approach. Bayesian inference with 150 partitions retained after discarding the highly compositionally heterogeneous part of the dataset recovers the same groupings of the mesozoan taxa compared to the intact matrix. The orthonectid is nested within the annelid clade and the dicyemids branch off at the base of the Rouphozoa+ Entoprocta+Cycliophora clade with weak support. Similarly, inference with the Dayhoff-recoded alignment groups the orthonectid with annelids, while leaving the position of the dicyemids uncertain within the Lophotrochozoa. Remarkably, the PhyloBayes run with recoded data shows adequate convergence between chains and infers the monophyletic Gastrotricha. Several conventional groupings, such as the Rouphozoa, are not recovered. Consistent with the proposed artefactual nature of the grouping of Bryozoa and Entoprocta due to compositional heterogeneity, both test datasets support the monophyletic Lophophorata, whereas the alternative Polyzoa was frequently observed for the complete and non-recoded data. We do not observe monophyly of the Mesozoa in any of the chains, nor do we observe their direct relations with Platyhelminthes. The orthonectid occupies a stable position within the annelid part of the tree.

Conclusions

Orthonectids are extremely simplified annelids and do not form a monophyletic group with dicyemids. Mesozoa is a polyphyletic taxon. Dramatic simplification of the body plan in dicyemids and orthonectids as well as their metagenetic life cycle evolved independently in these two lineages. Many, but not the same Bilateria's conservative genes are absent in the genomes of Dicyemida and Orthonecida, which indicates the independence of their loss. Thousands of minicircle molecules are present in the total dicyemid DNA. All protein-encoding genes, rRNA and tRNA genes typical of metazoan mitochondrial DNA are located on minicircle molecules. There are short nucleotide motifs specific to minicircle DNA, which are contained in 5-90% of these molecules.

Figure 2. Tree topologies in the 4 chains of the PhyloBayes run. Each marked group in the trees receives 1.0 posterior probability. Position of the dicyemid lineage in all chains receives moderate support. The pie charts reflect the portion of bipartitions where the dicyemid lineage occupies one of the three observed positions in the tree (represented with color). Topologies in each chain were compared with the approximately unbiased test; AU test *p*-values are shown above each topology.

Acknowledgements

The calculations were performed at the Joint Supercomputer Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

Publication

The results are published in the recent issue of Frontiers in Genetics (volume 10, article 443): https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00443



