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#### Abstract

We consider a lower bound on the length of a conjunction of some propositional formulae such that every unsatisfiable conjunction contains an unsatisfiable subformula. In particular, our method is applicable for 2-CNF, symmetric $3-\mathrm{CNF}$, and conjunctions of voting functions in three literals. The proof is algebraic. So, a large conjunction can be reduced in a nondeterministic way. This reduction improves some upper bounds on the computational complexity.


## Introduction

Let us denote by $\perp$ and $T$ two Boolean constants. For two integers $\alpha<\beta$, the set $\alpha$-or- $\beta$-in-SAT consists of CNFs such that, for some $(\perp, \top)$-evaluation, every clause contains either exactly $\alpha$ or exactly $\beta$ true literals.

For $k<\alpha<\beta$, the set $\alpha$-or- $\beta$-in-SAT contains no $k$-CNF.
For $k<\beta$, a $k$-CNF $\varphi$ belongs to 1 -or- $\beta$-in-SAT iff $\varphi$ belongs to 1 -in- $k$-SAT. This set consists of $k$-CNF such that, for some $(\perp, \top)$-evaluation, every clause contains exactly one true literal.

A 2-CNF $\varphi$ belongs to 1 -or-2-in-SAT iff $\varphi$ is satisfiable.
A 3-CNF $\varphi$ belongs to 1 -or-2-in-SAT iff $\varphi$ belongs to NAE-3-SAT. This set consists of 3 -CNF such that, for some $(\perp, \top)$-evaluation, every clause contains both true and false literals. A 3-CNF $\varphi\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ belongs to NAE-3-SAT iff $\varphi\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \wedge \varphi\left(\neg p_{1}, \ldots, \neg p_{n}\right)$ is satisfiable.

It is well known that both problems whether a given 3-CNF belongs to NAE3 -SAT and whether it belongs to 1 -in-3-SAT are NP-complete. The formula length serves as a natural parameter for estimating the runtime. Therefore, the possibility of replacing the original 3-CNF with a subformula is interesting. On complexity upper bounds refer to [1]

Let us fix arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$. If the number of clauses is less than the threshold $(1-\varepsilon) n$, then almost all 2 -CNFs in $n$ variables are feasible. On the
contrary, if the number of clauses is greater than the threshold $(1+\varepsilon) n$, then almost all 2-CNFs in $n$ variables are unsatisfiable [2, 3].

Such a threshold is usually called a phase transition and is also shown by random samples of several types of formulae.

A $k$-CNF is called $d$-regular when each clause contains exactly $k$ literals and each variable appears in exactly $d$ clauses. For any sufficiently large number $k$, the membership of a random $d$-regular $k$-CNF to the set NAE- $k$-SAT undergoes a phase transition with increasing $d$ at some critical value $d_{k}$, which depends on $k$. As the number of variables increases, for $d<d_{k}$, the fraction of $d$-regular $k$-CNF belonging to NAE- $k$-SAT tends to one. For $d>d_{k}$, this fraction tends to zero [4]. A similar result is known for $d$-regular $k$-CNFs having exactly two true literals per clause [5].

Next, let us consider a bound that holds for all, and not just almost all, formulae in consideration. In the proof, we replace a CNF with a system of algebraic equations depending on auxiliary variables, one per clause. So, the original satisfiability problem is reduced to the problem of the incidence of an affine subspace defined by a system of linear equations and a set of points with coordinates from the set $\{0,1\}$. From a geometric point of view, removing a clause corresponds to a projection onto some coordinate subspace [6]. In turn, the projection corresponds to eliminating the auxiliary variable. The solution to a system of equations in which each variable takes values from the set $\{0,1\}$ is called a $(0,1)$-solution. The existence of a $(0,1)$-solution to a system of linear equations over the field of rational numbers is also a well-known computationally difficult problem [7].

## 1. Results

Theorem 1. Given a system of $m$ linear equations of the type

$$
y_{j}=\ell_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

in $m+n$ variables $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, where $\ell_{j}$ denotes a linear function over a certain field. If this system has no $(0,1)$-solution and the inequality $m>2 n+2$ holds, then there is an equation in the system such that the subsystem obtained by removing this equation also has no $(0,1)$-solution.
Theorem 2. Given a propositional CNF $\varphi\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ with $m$ clauses in $n$ variables. If $\varphi$ does not belong to $\alpha$-or- $\beta$-in-SAT and the inequality $m>2 n+2$ holds, then there exists a CNF that does not belong to $\alpha$-or- $\beta$-in-SAT and is obtained by removing some clause from $\varphi$.

Proof. Let us define by induction a function $f$ that maps a clause to a pseudoBoolean linear function over the field of rational numbers. $f(\perp)=0$ and $f(\top)=1$. For variables $f\left(p_{i}\right)=x_{i}$. For the negation $f\left(\neg p_{i}\right)=1-x_{i}$. Next, the $j$ th clause $\varphi_{j}=\ell_{1} \vee \cdots \vee \ell_{k}$ corresponds to the expression $f\left(\ell_{1}\right)+\cdots+f\left(\ell_{k}\right)-\alpha-(\beta-\alpha) y_{j}$, where the new variable $y_{j}$ appears only once. Note that $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Next, the conjunction of clauses $\varphi_{j}$ corresponds to the system of linear equations $f\left(\varphi_{j}\right)=0$, where $1 \leq j \leq m$. This system depends on $m+n$ variables. All $m$ equations are linearly independent, since each one depends on its own auxiliary variable. Every $(0,1)$-solution to the system corresponds to a $(\perp, \top)$-evaluation of propositional variables such that in each clause either exactly $\alpha$ or exactly $\beta$ literals are true. Conversely, for such a $(\perp, \top)$-evaluation of propositional variables, there is a $(0,1)$-solution to the system of linear equations. If $p_{i}=\perp$, then $x_{i}=0$. If $p_{i}=\mathrm{\top}$, then $x_{i}=1$. If $\alpha$ literals are satisfied in the $j$ th clause, then $y_{j}=0$. If $\beta$ literals are satisfied, then $y_{j}=1$. According to Theorem 1 , if the system has no $(0,1)$-solution, then this property is preserved after eliminating some additional variable $y_{j}$, i.e., after removing the $j$ th clause from $\varphi$.

## 2. Discussion

The bound on the number of clauses in an unsatisfiable subformula in 2-CNF is close to optimal. There is an unsatisfiable 2-CNF with $m=2 n$ clauses in $n$ variables for which the subformula obtained by removing any clause is satisfiable. An example is $2-\mathrm{CNF}$
$\left(\neg p_{1} \vee p_{2}\right) \wedge\left(p_{1} \vee \neg p_{2}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(\neg p_{n-1} \vee p_{n}\right) \wedge\left(p_{n-1} \vee \neg p_{n}\right) \wedge\left(p_{n} \vee p_{1}\right) \wedge\left(\neg p_{n} \vee \neg p_{1}\right)$,
where each variable enters twice positively and twice negatively. This 2-CNF is equivalent to the conjunction of formulae expressing the equivalence of the variables $p_{j}$ and $p_{j+1}$ for $j<n$, as well as the equivalence of the variable $p_{n}$ and the negation of the variable $p_{1}$. It is impossible. But removing one clause from this 2-CNF corresponds to replacing some equivalence with an implication. The resulting formula is satisfiable for some $(\perp, \top)$-evaluation for which the antecedent of this implication is false.

Note that the bound on reducing the number of clauses lies in the segment where almost all 2-CNFs are unsatisfiable [2]. So, the possibility of removing some clause from an unsatisfiable 2-CNF does not impose unexpected additional restrictions on the unsatisfiable subformula. Also, the results obtained for other classes of formulae provide an upper estimate for the phase transition boundary, when it exists.

It is possible to consider conjunctions of formulae of another type. Let us denote by $\operatorname{maj}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ the voting function (majority). Its value is equal to the most frequently occurring among $(\perp, \top)$-values of the propositional variables $p_{1}$, $p_{2}$, and $p_{3}$. For literals $\ell_{i j}$ the conjunction $\wedge_{j} \operatorname{maj}\left(\ell_{1 j}, \ell_{2 j}, \ell_{3 j}\right)$ is satisfiable if and only if 3 -CNF $\wedge_{j}\left(\ell_{1 j} \vee \ell_{2 j} \vee \ell_{3 j}\right)$ belongs to the set 2 -or-3-in-SAT. Therefore, Theorem 2 is applicable to formulae of this type.

## Conclusion

Reducing the formula length leads to a decrease in some estimates of computational complexity. However, it does not guarantee a reduction in the running time of some heuristic algorithms. On the other hand, the main result is a pure existence theorem, which does not provide a fast algorithm for finding an unsatisfiable subformula. The result is consistent with the hypothesis that the satisfiability problem is computationally hard in the worst-case.
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