

- ▶ VLADIMIR KANOVEI, *Some applications of finite-support products of Jensen's minimal  $\Delta_3^1$  forcing.*

IITP, Moscow, Russia, and MIIT, Moscow, Russia.

E-mail: [kanovei@rambler.ru](mailto:kanovei@rambler.ru).

Jensen [4] introduced a forcing notion  $P \in \mathbf{L}$  such that any  $P$ -generic real  $a$  over  $\mathbf{L}$  has minimal  $\mathbf{L}$ -degree, is  $\Delta_3^1$  in  $\mathbf{L}[a]$ , and is the only  $P$ -generic real in  $\mathbf{L}[a]$ . Further applications of this forcing include iterations, finite products and finite-support infinite products for symmetric choiceless models [1], et cetera. We present some new applications of finite-support infinite products of Jensen's forcing and its variations.

**THEOREM 1** ([5]). *There is a generic extension  $\mathbf{L}[x]$  of  $\mathbf{L}$  by a real  $x$  in which  $[x]_{E_0}$  is a (lightface)  $\Pi_2^1$  set containing no OD (ordinal-definable) reals. Therefore it is consistent with **ZFC** that there is a countable non-empty lightface  $\Pi_2^1$  set of reals, in fact a  $E_0$  equivalence class, containing no OD elements.*

Recall that  $E_0$  is an equivalence relation on  $\omega^\omega$  such that  $x E_0 y$  iff  $x(k) = y(k)$  for all but finite  $k$ , and  $[x]_{E_0} = \{y \in \omega^\omega : x E_0 y\}$  is the (countable)  $E_0$ -class of a real  $x \in \omega^\omega$ .

Let a *Groszek – Laver pair* be any OD pair of sets  $X, Y \subseteq \omega^\omega$  such that neither of  $X, Y$  is separately OD. As demonstrated in [3], if  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is a Sacks $\times$ Sacks generic pair of reals over  $\mathbf{L}$  then their  $\mathbf{L}$ -degrees  $X = [x]_{\mathbf{L}} \cap \omega^\omega$  and  $Y = [y]_{\mathbf{L}} \cap \omega^\omega$  form such a pair in  $\mathbf{L}[x, y]$ ; the sets  $X, Y$  in this example are obviously uncountable.

**THEOREM 2** ([2]). *There is a generic extension  $\mathbf{L}[a, b]$  of  $\mathbf{L}$  by reals  $a, b$  in which it is true that the countable sets  $[a]_{E_0}$  and  $[b]_{E_0}$  form a Groszek – Laver pair, and moreover the union  $[a]_{E_0} \cup [b]_{E_0}$  is a  $\Pi_2^1$  set.*

**THEOREM 3** ([6]). *It is consistent with **ZFC** that there is a  $\Pi_2^1$  set  $\emptyset \neq Q \subseteq \omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega$  with countable cross-sections  $Q_x = \{y : \langle x, y \rangle \in Q\}$ ,  $x \in \omega^\omega$ , non-uniformizable by any ROD set. In fact each cross-section  $Q_x$  in the example is a  $E_0$  class.*

ROD = real-ordinal-definable. Typical examples of non-ROD-uniformizable sets, like  $\{\langle x, y \rangle : y \notin \mathbf{L}[x]\}$  in the Solovay model, definitely have uncountable cross-sections.

Let *analytically definable* mean the union  $\bigcup_n \Sigma_n^1$  of all lightface definability classes  $\Sigma_n^1$ . The *full basis theorem* is the claim that any non-empty analytically definable set  $X \subseteq \omega^\omega$  contains an analytically definable element. This is true assuming  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$ , and generally assuming that there is an analytically definable wellordering of the reals. We prove that the implication is irreversible.

**THEOREM 4** (with A. Enayat). *It is consistent with **ZFC** that the full basis theorem is true but there is no analytically definable wellordering of the reals.*

[1] ALI ENAYAT, *On the Leibniz-Mycielski axiom in set theory*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 181 (2004), no. 3, pp. 215–231.

[2] M. Golshani, V. Kanovei, V. Lyubetsky, *A Groszek – Laver pair of undistinguishable  $E_0$  classes*, *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 2016, to appear.

[3] M. GROSZEK AND R. LAVER, *Finite groups of OD-conjugates*, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 18 (1987), pp. 87–97.

[4] RONALD JENSEN, *Definable sets of minimal degree*, *Mathematical Logic and Foundations of Set Theory, Proceedings of an International Colloquium* (Jerusalem 1968), (Yehoshua Bar-Hillel, editor), North-Holland, 1970, pp. 122–128.

[5] V. Kanovei, V. Lyubetsky, *A definable  $E_0$  class containing no definable elements*, *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, vol. 54 (2015), no. 5, pp. 711–723.

[6] V. Kanovei, V. Lyubetsky, *Counterexamples to countable-section  $\Pi_2^1$  uniformization and  $\Pi_3^1$  separation*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 2016, to appear.